

**(3) Award Recommendation Methodology.** (§2306.6710(a) - (f); §2306.111) The Department will assign, as described herein, Developments for review by the program and underwriting divisions. In general, Applications reviews will be conducted in the order described in subparagraphs (A) - (F) of this paragraph based upon the Applicant self-score and an initial program review. The procedure identified in subparagraphs (A) - (F) of this paragraph will also be used in making recommendations to the Board.

(A) USDA Set-Aside Application Selection (Step 1). The first set of reviews will be those Applications with the highest scores in the USDA Set-Aside until the minimum requirements stated in §11.5(2) of this chapter (relating to Competitive HTC Set-Asides. (§2306.111(d)) are attained. The minimum requirement may be exceeded in order to award the full credit request or underwritten amount of the last Application selected to meet the USDA Set-Aside requirement.

(B) At-Risk Set-Aside Application Selection (Step 2). The second set of reviews will be those Applications with the highest scores in the At-Risk Set-Aside statewide until the minimum requirements stated in §11.5(3) of this chapter (relating to At-Risk Set-Aside) are attained. This may require the minimum requirement to be exceeded to award the full credit request or underwritten amount of the last Application selected to meet the At-Risk Set-Aside requirement. This step may leave less than originally anticipated in the 26 subregions to award under the remaining steps.

(C) Initial Application Selection in Each Subregion (Step 3). The highest scoring Applications within each of the 26 subregions will then be selected provided there are sufficient funds within the subregion to fully award the Application with the priorities in this subparagraph first prioritized. Applications electing the At-Risk or USDA Set-Asides will not be eligible to receive an award from funds made generally available within each of the subregions. In Urban subregions in which credits available do not allow for all of the priorities in clauses (iii) to (v) of this subparagraph to be achieved, the priorities will be followed in the order reflected in this subparagraph.

(i) In Uniform State Service Regions containing a county with a population that exceeds one million, the Board may not allocate more than the maximum percentage of credits available for Elderly Developments, unless there are no other qualified Applications in the subregion. The Department will, for each such Urban subregion, calculate the maximum percentage in accordance with Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6711(h), and will publish such percentages on its website..

(ii) In accordance with Tex. Gov't Code, §2306.6711(g), in Uniform State Service Regions containing a county with a population that exceeds 1.7 million, the Board shall allocate competitive tax credits to the highest scoring Development, if any, that is part of a concerted revitalization plan that meets the requirements of §11.9(d)(7) (except for §11.9(d)(7)(A)(ii)(III) and §11.9(d)(7)(B)(iii)), is located in an Urban subregion, and is within the boundaries of a municipality with a population that exceeds 500,000.

(iii) In Urban subregions, not including the calculation of At-Risk Applications awarded, no more than 50% of all credits in a subregion will be awarded to Applications proposing Rehabilitation or Reconstruction, unless only Rehabilitation or Reconstruction Applicants are eligible in the subregion.

(iv) In Urban subregions containing a county with a population that exceeds 950,000, the Board shall allocate competitive tax credits to the highest scoring Development, if any, that is located in a neighborhood which is a recipient of a HUD Choice Neighborhood Planning or Implementation grant in the preceding five years from the date of Application submission and funds from the HUD Choice Neighborhood awardee are reflected in the Application's Sources and Uses.

(v) In Urban subregions containing a county with a population that exceeds 1,000,000, the Board shall allocate competitive tax credits to the highest scoring Development, if any, that elects to provide a High-Quality Pre-Kindergarten (HQ Pre-K) program and associated educational space at the Development Site that meets the requirements of items (a)-(c) of subparagraph (C)(i)(I) of §11.101(b)(5)- (related to Common Amenities). Developments serving a Target Population that is Elderly or Supportive Housing are not eligible for this item.

(D) Rural Collapse (Step 4). If there are any tax credits set-aside for Developments in a Rural Area in a specific Uniform State Service Region (Rural subregion) that remain after award under subparagraph (C) of this paragraph, those tax credits shall be combined into one "pool" and then be made available in any other Rural Area in the state to the Application in the most underserved Rural subregion as compared to the subregion's allocation. This rural redistribution will continue until all of the tax credits in the "pool" are allocated to Rural Applications and at least 20% of the funds available to the State are allocated to Applications in Rural Areas. (§2306.111(d)(3)) In the event that more than one subregion is underserved by the same percentage, the priorities described in clauses (i) - (ii) of this subparagraph will be used to select the next most underserved subregion:

(i) the subregion with no recommended At-Risk Applications from the same Application Round; and

(ii) the subregion that was the most underserved during the Application Round during the year immediately preceding the current Application Round.

(E) Statewide Collapse (Step 5). Any credits remaining after the Rural Collapse, including those in any subregion in the State, will be combined into one "pool." The funds will be used to award the highest scoring Application (not selected or eliminated in a prior step) in the most underserved subregion in the State compared to the amount originally made available in each subregion. In Uniform State Service Regions containing a county with a population that exceeds one million, the Board may not allocate more than the maximum percentage of credits available as calculated through the Regional Allocation Formula (RAF) for Elderly

Developments, within an Urban subregion of that service region. Therefore, certain Applications for Elderly Developments may be excluded from receiving an award from the collapse. The Department will, for each such Urban subregion, calculate the maximum percentage in accordance with Tex. Gov't Code §2306.6711(h) and will publish such percentages on its website. This process will continue until the funds remaining are insufficient to award the next highest scoring Application that is not rendered ineligible through application of the elderly cap in the next most underserved subregion. At least seven calendar days prior to the July Board meeting of the Department at which final awards of credits are authorized, the Department will post on its website the most current 2023 State of Texas Competitive Housing Tax Credit Ceiling Accounting Summary which includes the Regional Allocation Formula percentages including the maximum funding request/award limits, the Elderly Development maximum percentages and limits of credits available, and the methodology used for the determination of the award determinations within the State Collapse. In the event that more than one subregion is underserved by the same degree, the priorities described in clauses (i) and (ii) of this subparagraph will be used to select the next most underserved subregion:

(i) the subregion with no recommended At-Risk Applications from the same Application Round; and

(ii) the subregion that was the most underserved during the Application Round during the year immediately preceding the current Application Round.

(F) Contingent Qualified Nonprofit Set-aside Step (Step 6). If an insufficient number of Applications participating in the Nonprofit Set-Aside are selected after implementing the criteria described in subparagraphs (A) - (E) of this paragraph to meet the requirements of the 10% Nonprofit Set-Aside, action must be taken to modify the criteria described in subparagraphs (A) - (E) of this paragraph to ensure the Set-aside requirements are met. Therefore, the criteria described in subparagraphs (C) - (E) of this paragraph will be repeated after selection of the highest scoring Application(s) under the Nonprofit Set-aside statewide are selected to meet the minimum requirements of the Nonprofit Set- Aside. This step may cause some lower scoring Applications in a subregion to be selected instead of a higher scoring Application not participating in the Nonprofit Set-aside.