24042 1	0112 Bissonnet Livi	ng - Applicatio	n Summary			REAL EST	ate Analysi Jun	IS DIVISION ne 24, 2024				
Р	PROPERTY IDENTIFICATION		RECOMMENDATION			KEY PRINCIPALS / S	Sponsor					
Application #	24042	TDHCA Program	Request Re	ecommended								
Development	10112 Bissonnet Living	LIHTC (9% Credit)	\$2,000,000 \$0	\$/Unit \$0.92		onnet Developmen		J. Hunt				
City / County	Houston / Harris					Owner/Developer/Guarantor						
Region/Area Population	6 / Urban General	0				Consultant/Thom	as Huth					
Set-Aside	General											
Activity	New Construction	0			Related Parties	Contractor -	No Seller -	0				
	ΤΥΡΙCΑ	L BUILDING ELEVATION/PHOTO			UNIT DISTRIB	UTION IN	COME DISTRI	BUTION				
					# Beds # Units	% Total Income	# Units	% Total				
					Eff	0% 20%	-	0%				
	\geq \leq				1 27	22% 30%	10	8%				
					2 56	46% 40%	-	0%				
					3 38		40	33%				
					4	0% 60%	38	31%				
						70%	9	7%				
						80%	1	1%				
						MR	23	19%				
			0F04 CF654		TOTAL 12	1 100% TOTAL	121	100%				
					PRO	FORMA FEASIBILITY	(INDICATORS	5				
					Pro Forma Under	T 1	oplicant's Pro	Forma				
			OTS CREN		Debt Coverage	1.15 Expense	-	44.9%				
					Breakeven Occ.	85.8% Breakev		\$1,084				
					Average Rent	\$1,170 B/E Ren		\$86				
					Property Taxes	\$1,200/unit Exer		0%				
		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·			Total Expense	\$5,955/unit Contr		\$3,242/unit				
		Site Plan				ARKET FEASIBILITY I						
			11 IL			ate (10% Maximum		3.3%				
	MM I I I	minile		54, 2004) 101	Highest Unit Cap			12				
	N N	500 MIL 500 MIL		I.	Dominant Unit Ca			25				
	W. SWI HOUSTON PROVYS	MAIIIIII CETT			Premiums (↑80%							
	OF IN THE THE		巨行的而后,封	/	Rent Assisted Uni							
		The a set of the set		ener.		EVELOPMENT COST		ta .				
6					Costs Underwritte Avg. Unit Size		plicant's Cost Density	23.7/acre				
		A FEMA FLOOD ZONE X	E'E	And and a second se	Acquisition		3K/unit	\$3,950K				
		monitrial	E. F.	LELEN CONTRACTOR	Building Cost		9K/unit	\$3,950K \$14,354K				
	1		E' I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I I		Hard Cost		4K/unit	\$17,364K				
		2411000	ETT		Total Cost		8K/unit	\$32,384K				
		9	EEPHP		Developer Fee		6 Deferred)	Paid Year: 18				
			E AND B		Contractor Fee	\$2,333K 30 9	6 Boost	No				
			1914									
3079- 				1 Euro								
Jan Jan			OC PRO RECEINS									
			RISSONNET STREET									
	. 2017 11111 (MARKAN) • 122529		RISSONNET STREET									

n Bend If the application did not loose the 30% boost, it could absorb \$1000/unit insurance expense and still remain feasible while reducing debt by \$225k and deferring more fee. The deferred fee could repay	DEB	(Must Pa	y)				CASH FLOW [Debt / G	RANT FUN	DS		EQUITY / DEFERRED F	EES
Adjustment to Debt Per §11.302(240/40 5.40% (\$400.750) 1.15 CP Equily 0/0 0.00% s100 0.00% s100 0.00% s100 0.00% s1000 0.00%	Source	Term	Rate	A	Amount	DCR	Source	Term	Rate	Amount	DCR	Source	Amount
GP Equity 0/0 0.00% \$100 1.15 ITOTAL DBIT (Must Pay) \$12,087,950 CASH FLOW DBIT / GRANTS \$600 ITOTAL CAUTTY SOURCES \$20,295,916 ITOTAL DBIT (Must Pay) \$12,087,950 CASH FLOW DBIT / GRANTS \$600 ITOTAL CAUTTY SOURCES \$20,295,916 ITOTAL DBIT SOURCES \$12,087,950 CASH FLOW DBIT / GRANTS \$600 ITOTAL CAUTTY SOURCES \$12,089,550 ITOTAL DBIT SOURCES \$12,087,950 CASH FLOW DBIT / GRANTS \$600 ITOTAL CAUTTAULATION \$32,384,666 ITOTAL DBIT SOURCES \$12,087,950 CASH FLOW DBIT / GRANTS \$600 ITOTAL CAUTTAULATION \$32,384,666 ITOTAL DBIT SOURCES \$100,011 The Applicant applied assuming a 30% boost in eligible basis. However, they did not receive the necessary city resolution to qualify for the boost by the Full Application Delivery Date (§ 11.4(c)(1)). Without the 30% boost, Total Qualified Basis has decreased by \$4,05M which results in a \$185k reduction in annual tax credits. This generates a \$1.7M cut in equity proceeds. In order to balance sources and uses, the deferred developer fee must increase by \$1.7M which would require deferring 106% of the total developer fee; this is infeasible. Also, the increased deferred fee could not repay within 15 years as required by \$11.302(l)(2); this would generate a negative \$664k 15-year cash flow.	Regions Bank	40/40	5.40	\$12	2,488,700	1.11	City of Houston	0/0	0.00%	\$500	1.15	Regions Bank	\$16,696,279
GP Equity 0/0 0.00% \$100 1.15 Instance TOTAL DEBI (Must Pay) \$12,097,950 CASH FLOW DEBI / GRANTS \$500 TOTAL COUTY SOURCES \$12,082,591 TOTAL DEBI (Must Pay) \$12,097,950 CASH FLOW DEBI / GRANTS \$500 TOTAL CAPITALIZATION \$32,384,466 NOT RECOMMENDED DUE TO THE FOLLOWING Total CaPITALIZATION \$32,384,466 NOT RECOMMENDED DUE TO THE FOLLOWING The Applicant applied assuming a 30% boost in eligible basis. However, they did not receive the necessary city resolution to qualify for the boost by the Full Application Delivery Date (§11.4(c)(1)). Without the 30% boost, Total Qualified Basis has decreased by \$6.05M which results in a \$185k reduction in annual tax credits. This generates a \$1.7M cut in equity proceeds. In order to balance sources and uses, the deferred developer fee must increase by the \$1.7M which would require deferring 106% of the total developer fee; this is infeasible. Aso, the increased defered fee could not repay within 15 years as required by \$11.302(i)(2); this would generate a negative \$664k 15-year cash flow. Therefore, the application is infeasible and not recommended for a tax credit allocation. It should be noted that the application was submitted with \$700/unit property insurance expense in Houston, Texas. Based on comps and current applications, we would expect the insurance cost to be over \$1000/unit, which would turther decreaces cash flow. <td>Adjustment to Debt Per §11.30</td> <td>2(c 40/40</td> <th>5.40</th> <td>)% (\$</td> <td>\$400,750)</td> <td>1.15</td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td></td> <td>0</td> <th>\$0</th>	Adjustment to Debt Per §11.30	2(c 40/40	5.40)% (\$	\$400,750)	1.15						0	\$0
TOTAL EQUITY SOURCES \$20,295,916 TOTAL DEBT (Must Pay) \$12,087,950 CASH FLOW DEBT / GRANTS \$600 TOTAL CAPITALIZATION \$32,284,466 OTAL CAPITALIZATION \$12,087,950 CASH FLOW DEBT / GRANTS \$600 TOTAL CAPITALIZATION \$32,284,466 OTAL CAPITALIZATION \$12,087,950 CASH FLOW DEBT / GRANTS \$600 TOTAL CAPITALIZATION \$32,284,466 OTAL CAPITALIZATION OTAL CAPITALIZATION												RIVA/The Land Experts	\$3,599,637
Interference Interference<							GP Equity	0/0	0.00%	\$100	1.15		
AREA MAP Creek Village Houston AREA MAP Toreat CARTA The application is infeasible and not recommended for a tax credit allocation. It is should be noted that the application is already infeasible when assuming the application does the 30% boost, it could absorb \$1000/unit insurance expense in the application of the other states as a submitted with \$700/unit property insurance expense in the application is already infeasible when assuming the application does the 30% boost, it could absorb \$1000/unit insurance expense and still mempting the application of a top top the substitution and underwriter and not recommended to a tax credit is already infeasible when assuming the application is already infeasible when assuming the application and unsure letters ince the application is already infeasible when assuming the application and unsure expenses.												TOTAL EQUITY SOURCES	\$20,295,916
NOT RECOMMENDED DUE TO THE FOLLOWING The Applicant applied assuming a 30% boost in eligible basis. However, they did not receive the necessary city resolution to qualify for the boost by the Full Application Delivery Date (§11.4(c)(1)). Without the 30% boost, Total Qualified Basis has decreased by \$6.05M which results in a \$185k reduction in annual tax credits. This generates a \$1.7M cut in equity proceeds. In order to balance sources and uses, the deferred developer fee must increase by the \$1.7M which would require deferring 106% of the total developer fee; this is infeasible. Also, the increased deferred fee could not repay within 15 years as required by \$11.302(i)(2); this would generate a negative \$664k 15-year cash flow. Therefore, the application is infeasible and not recommended for a tax credit allocation. It should be noted that the application was submitted with \$700/unit property insurance expense in Houston, Texas. Based on comps and current applications, we would expect the insurance cost to be over \$1000/unit, which would further decrease cash flow. Due to the loss of the 30% boost, the underwitter did not request an insurance letter since the application is already infeasible when assuming the application's lower expenses. Weilville The application did not loose the 30% boost, it could absorb \$1000/unit insurance expense and still remain feasible reducing debt by \$25% and defering more fee. The deferred fee could repay												TOTAL DEBT SOURCES	\$12,088,550
AREA MAP Creek Village Poplication Interformer Seed on comps and current application is infeasible and not receive the application to qualify for the boost by the Full Application Delivery Date (§11.4(c)(1)). Without the 30% boost, Total Qualified Basis has decreased by \$6.05M which results in a \$185k reduction in annual tax credits. This generates a \$1.7M cut in equity proceeds. In order to balance sources and uses, the deferred developer fee must increase by the \$1.7M which would require deferring 106% of the total developer fee; this is infeasible. Also, the increased deferred fee could not repay within 15 years as required by \$11.302(i)(2); this would generate a negative \$664k 15-year cash flow. Therefore, the application is infeasible and not recommended for a tax credit allocation. It should be noted that the application was submitted with \$700/unit property insurance expense in Houston, Texas. Based on comps and current applications, we would expect the insurance cost to be over \$1000/unit, which would further decrease cash flow. Due to the loss of the 30% boost, the underwriter did not request an insurance letter since the application is already infeasible when assuming the application did not loose the 30% boost, it could absorb \$1000/unit insurance expense and still remoting feasible while reducing debt by \$25k and deferring more fee. The deferred fee could repay	TOTAL DEBT (Must Pay)			\$	\$12,087,95	50	CASH FLOW DEBT / GRANTS			\$600		TOTAL CAPITALIZATION	\$32,384,466
6 Meadows Soi within 15 years; all else equal.	AREA I Creek Village Howellville In Bend Orners Meadows	Ho	oust			The new Witt ann In Wo Alss ge The It s Ho ov und the If t ren	e Applicant applied assur- cessary city resolution to c hout the 30% boost, Total nual tax credits. This gene order to balance sources uld require deferring 106% o, the increased deferred nerate a negative \$664k 1 erefore, the application is in should be noted that the uston, Texas. Based on co er \$1000/unit, which wou derwriter did not request c e applicant's lower expense he application did not lo nain feasible while reducir	ming c ualify f Qualifie rates a and u of the fee co 5-year feasib e applie omps c Id furt n insure es.	a 30% b or the b ed Basis \$1.7M c ses, the total de build no cash flo cash flo le and r cation v ind curr her dec ance le e 30% b	ENDED DUI poost in eliq poost by the has decread cut in equity e deferred of veloper fee t repay with w. not recomm was submitt ent applicator crease cash ther since the poost, it cou	gible Full A sed b proc develoe; this nin 15 nende ed w ations, n flow ne ap	basis. However, they did not Application Delivery Date (§11.4(by \$6.05M which results in a \$185k ceeds. oper fee must increase by the is infeasible. years as required by §11.302(i)(2 ed for a tax credit allocation. ith \$700/unit property insurance , we would expect the insurance , we would expect the insurance years of the 30% plication is already infeasible wh	receive the c)(1)). reduction in \$1.7M which 2); this would e expense in e cost to be boost, the en assuming ense and still

ALCONTRACTOR									Real		-	Report		
			DEVE		IT IDENT	IFIC	ATIO	N						
TDHCA Application #	24042			Program(s	s): 9% H	TC								
				10112 Bis	sonnet	Livir	ng							
Address/Location:	NEQ of Bis	sonnet	t Street	and W Sa	m Housto	n Pai	rkway	S						
City: Houston				Со	unty: Ha	arris				Zip	: 77036			
Population: Ger				m Set-Asic	le:	_	neral				Area: Urban Region: 6 DN erm Co			
Activity: New	Construction		Buildin	g Type:		Elev	ator Se	erved		Re	Area: <u>Urbar</u> Region: <u>6</u>			
Analysis Purpose:	New Appl	icatior	ı - Initia	l Underwrit	ting									
				ALLO	OCATIO	N								
		REQU	EST					RECO	MMENDA	TION				
TDHCA Program	Amount	Int. Rate	Amort	Term	Amou	nt	Int. Rate	Amort	Perm. Term	Perm Lien	Const. Term	Const Lien		
LIHTC (9% Credit)	\$2,000,000					\$0		-		-				

NOT RECOMMENDED DUE TO THE FOLLOWING

The Applicant applied assuming a 30% boost in eligible basis. However, they did not receive the necessary city resolution to qualify for the boost by the Full Application Delivery Date (\$11.4(c)(1)).

Without the 30% boost, Total Qualified Basis has decreased by \$6.05M which results in a \$185k reduction in annual tax credits. This generates a \$1.7M cut in equity proceeds.

In order to balance sources and uses, the deferred developer fee must increase by the \$1.7M which would require deferring 106% of the total developer fee; this is infeasible.

Also, the increased deferred fee could not repay within 15 years as required by §11.302(i)(2); this would generate a negative \$664k 15-year cash flow.

Therefore, the application is infeasible and not recommended for a tax credit allocation.

It should be noted that the application was submitted with \$700/unit property insurance expense in Houston, Texas. Based on comps and current applications, we would expect the insurance cost to be over \$1000/unit, which would further decrease cash flow. Due to the loss of the 30% boost, the underwriter did not request an insurance letter since the application is already infeasible when assuming the applicant's lower expenses.

If the application did not loose the 30% boost, it could absorb \$1000/unit insurance expense and still remain feasible while reducing debt by \$925k and deferring more fee. The deferred fee could repay within 15 years; all else equal.

Underwriter:	Jeffrey Price
Manager of Real Estate Analysis:	Diamond Unique Thompson
Director of Real Estate Analysis:	Jeanna Adams

UNIT MIX/RENT SCHEDULE

10112 Bissonnet Living, Houston, 9% HTC #24042

LOCATION DA	ATA
CITY:	Houston
COUNTY:	Harris
Area Median Income	\$93,200
PROGRAM REGION:	6
PROGRAM RENT YEAR:	2023

		UNIT	DISTRIB	UTION		
# Beds	# Units	% Total	Assisted	MDL	ARP	Match
Eff	-	0.0%	0	0	0	0
1	27	22.3%	0	0	0	0
2	56	46.3%	0	0	0	0
3	38	31.4%	0	0	0	0
4	-	0.0%	0	0	0	0
5	-	0.0%	0	0	0	0
TOTAL	121	100.0%	-	-	-	-

PRO FORMA ASSUMPTIONS	
Revenue Growth	2.00%
Expense Growth	3.00%
Basis Adjust	100%
Applicable Fraction	77.72%
APP % Acquisition	4.00%
APP % Construction	9.00%
Average Unit Size	963 sf

54%	Income	20%	30%	40%	50%	60%	70%	80%	EO / MR	TOTAL
Average	# Units	-	10	-	40	38	9	1	23	121
Income	% Total	0.0%	8.3%	0.0%	33.1%	31.4%	7.4%	0.8%	19.0%	100.0%

									NTHLY R	ENT SC	HEDULE									
нт	Ċ		וואט	гміх		APPLICABLE PROGRAM RENT					CANT'S MA RENT	S	TDHCA	PRO FOR	MA RE	NTS	MARKET RENTS			
Туре	Gross Rent	# Units	# Beds	# Baths	NRA	Gross Rent	Utility Allow	Max Net Program Rent	Delta to Max	Rent psf	Net Rent per Unit	Total Monthly Rent	Total Monthly Rent	Rent per Unit	Rent psf	Delta to Max	Under	written	Mrkt Analyst	
TC 30%	\$524	7	1	1	678	\$524	\$71	\$453	\$0	\$0.67	\$453	\$3,171	\$3,171	\$453	\$0.67	\$0	\$1,332	\$1.96	\$1,332	
TC 50%	\$874	18	1	1	678	\$874	\$71	\$803	\$0	\$1.18	\$803	\$14,454	\$14,454	\$803	\$1.18	\$0	\$1,332	\$1.96	\$1,332	
TC 60%	\$1,049	1	1	1	678	\$1,049	\$71	\$978	\$0	\$1.44	\$978	\$978	\$978	\$978	\$1.44	\$0	\$1,332	\$1.96	\$1,332	
MR		1	1	1	678	\$0	\$71		NA	\$1.95	\$1,322	\$1,322	\$1,332	\$1,332	\$1.96	NA	\$1,332	\$1.96	\$1,332	
TC 30%	\$629	2	2	2	960	\$629	\$94	\$535	\$0	\$0.56	\$535	\$1,070	\$1,070	\$535	\$0.56	\$0	\$1,635	\$1.70	\$1,635	
TC 50%	\$1,048	22	2	2	960	\$1,048	\$94	\$954	\$0	\$0.99	\$954	\$20,988	\$20,988	\$954	\$0.99	\$0	\$1,635	\$1.70	\$1,635	
TC 60%	\$1,258	25	2	2	960	\$1,258	\$94	\$1,164	\$0	\$1.21	\$1,164	\$29,100	\$29,100	\$1,164	\$1.21	\$0	\$1,635	\$1.70	\$1,635	
TC 70%	\$1,468	1	2	2	960	\$1,468	\$94	\$1,374	(\$210)	\$1.21	\$1,164	\$1,164	\$1,374	\$1,374	\$1.43	\$0	\$1,635	\$1.70	\$1,635	
TC 70%	\$1,468	3	2	2	1,005	\$1,468	\$94	\$1,374	\$0	\$1.37	\$1,374	\$4,122	\$4,122	\$1,374	\$1.37	\$0	\$1,692	\$1.68	\$1,692	
TC 80%	\$1,678	1	2	2	1,005	\$1,678	\$94	\$1,584	\$0	\$1.58	\$1,584	\$1,584	\$1,584	\$1,584	\$1.58	\$0	\$1,692	\$1.68	\$1,692	
MR		2	2	2	1,005	\$0	\$94		NA	\$1.60	\$1,608	\$3,216	\$3,384	\$1,692	\$1.68	NA	\$1,692	\$1.68	\$1,692	
TC 30%	\$727	1	3	2	1,164	\$727	\$117	\$610	\$0	\$0.52	\$610	\$610	\$610	\$610	\$0.52	\$0	\$1,792	\$1.54	\$1,792	
TC 60%	\$1,454	12	3	2	1,164	\$1,454	\$117	\$1,337	\$0	\$1.15	\$1,337	\$16,044	\$16,044	\$1,337	\$1.15	\$0	\$1,792	\$1.54	\$1,792	
TC 70%	\$1,696	5	3	2	1,164	\$1,696	\$117	\$1,579	\$0	\$1.36	\$1,579	\$7,895	\$7,895	\$1,579	\$1.36	\$0	\$1,792	\$1.54	\$1,792	
MR		20	3	2	1,164	\$0	\$117		NA	\$1.54	\$1,792	\$35,840	\$35,840	\$1,792	\$1.54	NA	\$1,792	\$1.54	\$1,792	
TOTALS/AVE	RAGES:	121			116,568				(\$2)	\$1.21	\$1,170	\$141,558	\$141,946	\$1,173	\$1.22	\$0	\$1,620	\$1.68	\$1,620	

ANNUAL POTENTIAL GROSS RENT:

*MFDL units float among Unit Types

\$1,698,696 \$1,703,352

STABILIZED PRO FORMA

10112 Bissonnet Living, Houston, 9% HTC #24042

		STABILIZED FIRST YEAR PRO FORMA													
		COMPA	RABLES			AP	PLICANT			TDHC	4		VAF	RIANCE	
	Database				% EGI	Per SF	Per Unit	Amount	Amount	Per Unit	Per SF	% EGI	%	\$	
POTENTIAL GROSS RENT						\$1.21	\$1,170	\$1,698,696	\$1,703,352	\$1,173	\$1.22		-0.3%	(\$4,656)	
Application, Pet, Late and NSF Fees							\$15.80	\$22,944							
Laundry, Vending, Cable							\$9.10	\$13,212							
Total Secondary Income							\$24.90		\$36,156	\$24.90			0.0%	\$0	
POTENTIAL GROSS INCOME								\$1,734,852	\$1,739,508				-0.3%	(\$4,656)	
Vacancy & Collection Loss							7.5% PGI	(130,114)	(130,463)	7.5% PGI			-0.3%	349	
EFFECTIVE GROSS INCOME								\$1,604,738	\$1,609,045				-0.3%	(\$4,307)	
General & Administrative	\$67,987	\$562/Unit	\$81,459	\$673	3.31%	\$0.46	\$438	\$53,049	\$53,049	\$438	\$0.46	3.30%	0.0%	-	
Management	\$63,010	3.5% EGI	\$59,537	\$492	4.00%	\$0.55	\$530	\$64,190	\$64,362	\$532	\$0.55	4.00%	-0.3%	(172)	
Payroll & Payroll Tax	\$179,119	\$1,480/Unit	\$195,985	\$1,620	10.11%	\$1.39	\$1,340	\$162,182	\$162,182	\$1,340	\$1.39	10.08%	0.0%	-	
Repairs & Maintenance	\$95,656	\$791/Unit	\$46,606	\$385	5.21%	\$0.72	\$691	\$83,628	\$78,650	\$650	\$0.67	4.89%	6.3%	4,978	
Electric/Gas	\$39,189	\$324/Unit	\$25,093	\$207	1.44%	\$0.20	\$191	\$23,154	\$23,154	\$191	\$0.20	1.44%	0.0%	-	
Water, Sewer, & Trash	\$93,096	\$769/Unit	\$70,649	\$584	4.38%	\$0.60	\$581	\$70,320	\$70,320	\$581	\$0.60	4.37%	0.0%	-	
Property Insurance	\$98,710	\$0.85 /sf	\$132,784	\$1,097	5.28%	\$0.73	\$700	\$84,700	\$84,700	\$700	\$0.73	5.26%	0.0%	-	
Property Tax (@ 100%) 2.2132	\$121,104	\$1,001/Unit		#VALUE!	9.05%	\$1.25	\$1,200	\$145,200	\$145,200	\$1,200	\$1.25	9.02%	0.0%	-	
Reserve for Replacements					1.89%	\$0.26	\$250	\$30,250	\$30,250	\$250	\$0.26	1.88%	0.0%	-	
TDHCA Compliance fees (\$40/HTC unit)					0.24%	\$0.03	\$32	\$3,920	\$3,920	\$32	\$0.03	0.24%	0.0%	-	
TOTAL EXPENSES					44.90%	\$6.18	\$5,955	\$720,593	\$715,787	\$5,916	\$6.14	44.49%	0.7%	\$ 4,806	
NET OPERATING INCOME ("NOI")					55.10%	\$7.58	\$7,307	\$884,146	\$893,258	\$7,382	\$7.66	55.51%	-1.0%	\$ (9,113)	

CONTROLLABLE EXPENSES	\$3,242/Unit	\$3,201/Unit	

CAPITALIZATION / TOTAL DEVELOPMENT BUDGET / ITEMIZED BASIS

10112 Bissonnet Living, Houston, 9% HTC #24042

							DE	BT / GRANT	SOURCES						
			APPLI	CANT'S PROPO	OSED DEBT/	GRANT STRU	CTURE			AS UN	IDERWRITTE	N DEBT/GRAN	T STRUCTUR	٤E	
		Cumulat	ive DCR											Cur	nulative
DEBT (Must Pay)	Fee	UW	Арр	Pmt	Rate	Amort	Term	Principal	Principal	Term	Amort	Rate	Pmt	DCR	LTC
Regions Bank	0.25%	1.16	1.15	768,814	5.40%	40	40.0	\$12,488,700	\$12,488,700	40.0	40.0	5.40%	\$794,007	1.11	38.6%
Adjustment to Debt Per §11.302(c)(2)	0.25%								(\$400,750)	40.0	40.0	5.40%	(\$25,479)	1.15	-1.2%
CASH FLOW DEBT / GRANTS															
City of Houston		1.16	1.15		0.00%	0	0.0	\$500	\$500	0.0	0.0	0.00%		1.15	0.0%
GP Equity		1.16	1.15		0.00%	0	0.0	\$100	\$100	0.0	0.0	0.00%		1.15	0.0%
				\$768,814	TOT	AL DEBT / GR	ANT SOURCES	\$12,489,300	\$12,088,550		TOTAL D	EBT SERVICE	\$768,528	1.15	37.3%
NET CASH FLOW \$124,444 \$115,3									APPLICANT	NET OPERA	TING INCOME	\$884,146	\$115,617	NET CASH	FLOW

	EQUITY SOURCES											
	APPLICANT'S PR	AS UNDERWRITTEN EQUITY STRUCTURE										
EQUITY / DEFERRED FEES	DESCRIPTION	% Cost	Annual Credit	Credit Price	Amount	Amount	Credit Price	Annual Credit	% Cost	Annual Credits per Unit Allocation Met		on Method
Regions Bank	LIHTC Equity	56.8%	\$2,000,000	\$0.92	\$18,398,160	\$16,696,279	\$0.9199	\$0.9199 \$1,814,994		\$15,000	Eligibl	le Basis
RIVA/The Land Experts	Deferred Developer Fees	4.6%	(44% D	eferred)	\$1,497,007	\$3,599,637	(106% [Deferred)	11.1%	Total Develop	er Fee:	\$3,384,366
Additional (Excess) Funds Req'd		0.0%				\$0			0.0%			
TOTAL EQUITY SOURCES		61.4%			\$19,895,167	\$20,295,916			62.7%			
TOTAL CAPITALIZATION				-	\$32,384,467	\$32,384,466	-		15-Yr	Cash Flow after D	eferred Fee:	(\$664,027)

	DEVELOPMENT COST / ITEMIZED BASIS											
		APPLICA	NT COST / BAS	SIS ITEMS			TDHCA COST / BASIS ITEMS					ARIANCE
	Eligible	e Basis							Eligible Basis			
	Acquisition	New Const. Rehab		Total Costs			Total Costs		New Const. Rehab	Acquisition	%	\$
Land Acquisition		_		\$32,645 / Unit	\$3,950,000	\$3,950,000	\$32,645 / Unit				0.0%	\$0
Building Acquisition	\$0			\$ / Unit	\$0	\$0	\$ / Unit			\$0	0.0%	\$0
Off-Sites				\$ / Unit	\$0	\$0	\$ / Unit		\$0		0.0%	\$0
Site Work		\$1,423,473		\$11,764 / Unit	\$1,423,473	\$1,423,473	\$11,764 / Unit		\$1,423,473		0.0%	\$0
Site Amenities		\$885,758		\$7,320 / Unit	\$885,758	\$885,758	\$7,320 / Unit		\$885,758		0.0%	\$0
Building Cost		\$14,354,362	\$123.14 /sf	\$118,631/Unit	\$14,354,361	\$13,377,715	\$110,560/Unit	\$114.76 /sf	\$13,377,715		7.3%	\$976,646
Contingency		\$700,049	4.20%	4.20%	\$700,049	\$700,049	4.46%	4.46%	\$700,049		0.0%	\$0
Contractor Fees		\$2,332,904	13.44%	13.44%	\$2,332,904	\$2,294,179	14.00%	14.00%	\$2,294,179		1.7%	\$38,725
Soft Costs	\$0	\$1,724,756		\$14,461 / Unit	\$1,749,756	\$1,749,756	\$14,461 / Unit		\$1,724,756	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Financing	\$0	\$1,141,140		\$19,500 / Unit	\$2,359,547	\$2,359,547	\$19,500 / Unit	-	\$1,141,140	\$0	0.0%	\$0
Developer Fee	\$0	\$3,384,366	15.00%	15.00%	\$3,384,366	\$3,232,061	15.00%	15.00%	\$3,232,061	\$0	4.7%	\$152,305
Reserves				10 Months	\$1,244,252	\$1,244,252	10 Months				0.0%	\$0
TOTAL HOUSING DEVELOPMENT COST (UNADJUSTED BASIS	i) \$0	\$25,946,808		\$267,640 / Unit	\$32,384,466	\$31,216,790	\$257,990 / Unit		\$24,779,131	\$0	3.7%	\$1,167,676
Acquisition Cost	\$0				\$0							
Contingency		\$0			\$0							
Contractor's Fee		\$0			\$0							
Financing Cost		\$0										
Developer Fee	\$0	\$0			\$0							
Reserves					\$0							
ADJUSTED BASIS / COS	г \$0	\$25,946,808		\$267,640/unit	\$32,384,466	\$31,216,790	\$257,990/unit		\$24,779,131	\$0	3.7%	\$1,167,676
TOTAL HOUSING DEVELOPM	NT COSTS (Appl	icant's Uses are	within 5% of TDI	HCA Estimate)	\$32,38	34.466						

CAPITALIZATION / TOTAL DEVELOPMENT BUDGET / ITEMIZED BASIS 10112 Bissonnet Living, Houston, 9% HTC #24042

	CREDIT CALCULATION ON QUALIFIED BASIS										
	Applica	ant	TDHCA								
	Acquisition	Construction Rehabilitation	Acquisition	Construction							
ADJUSTED BASIS	\$0	\$25,946,808	\$0	\$24,779,131							
Deduction of Federal Grants	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0							
TOTAL ELIGIBLE BASIS	\$0	\$25,946,808	\$0	\$24,779,131							
High Cost Area Adjustment		100%		100%							
TOTAL ADJUSTED BASIS	\$0	\$25,946,808	\$0	\$24,779,131							
Applicable Fraction	77.72%	77.72%	78%	78%							
TOTAL QUALIFIED BASIS	\$0	\$20,166,605	\$0	\$19,259,053							
Applicable Percentage	4.00%	9.00%	4.00%	9.00%							
ANNUAL CREDIT ON BASIS	\$0	\$1,814,994	\$0	\$1,733,315							
CREDITS ON QUALIFIED BASIS	\$1,814,9	94	\$1,73	3,315							

	ANNUAL CREDIT CAL	CULATION BASED ON	FINAL ANNUAL LIHTC ALLOCATION					
_	APPLICA	NT BASIS	Credit Price \$0.9199	Variance	to Request			
Method	Annual Credits	Proceeds	Credit Allocation	Credits	Proceeds			
Eligible Basis	\$1,814,994	\$16,696,279	\$1,814,994	(\$185,006)	(\$1,701,881)			
Needed to Fill Gap	\$2,206,298	\$20,295,916						
Applicant Request	\$2,000,000	\$18,398,160						

	BUI	LDING COS	T ESTIMATE			
CATE	GORY	FACTOR	UNITS/SF	PER SF		
Base Cost:	ase Cost: Elevator Se		116,568 SF	\$91.07	10,615,35	
Adjustments						
Exterior Wall	Finish	4.08%		3.72	\$433,107	
Elderly		0.00%		0.00	0	
9-Ft. Ceilings		3.51%		3.20	372,599	
Roof Adjustm	ent(s)			(0.25)	(29,142	
Subfloor				0.30	34,388	
Floor Cover				3.68	428,970	
Breezeways		\$40.50	20,233	7.03	819,342	
Balconies		\$40.39	8,227	2.85	332,275	
Plumbing Fixt	ures	\$1,420	282	3.44	400,440	
Rough-ins		\$700	242	1.45	169,400	
Built-In Applia	inces	\$2,280	121	2.37	275,880	
Exterior Stairs	Exterior Stairs		16	0.49	56,800	
Heating/Cooli	ng			3.12	363,692	
Storage Spac	e	\$40.50	0	0.00	C	
Carports		\$16.05	0	0.00	C	
Garages		\$30.00	0	0.00	C	
Common/Sup	port Area	\$126.95	4,811	5.24	610,750	
Elevators		\$150,400	2	2.58	300,800	
Other:				0.00	0	
Fire Sprinkler	s	\$3.65	141,612	4.43	516,884	
SUBTOTAL				134.70	15,701,544	
Current Cost Mu	Itiplier	1.00		0.00	0	
Local Multiplier		1.00		0.00	0	
Reserved					0	
TOTAL BUILDI	NG COSTS			134.70	\$15,701,544	
Plans, specs, surv	ey, bldg permits	3.30%		(4.45)	(\$518,151	
Contractor's OH	& Profit	11.50%		(15.49)	(1,805,678	
NET BUILDING	COSTS		\$110,560/unit	\$114.76/sf	\$13,377,715	

Long-Term Pro Forma

10112 Bissonnet Living, Houston, 9% HTC #24042

	Growth												
	Rate	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	Year 4	Year 5	Year 10	Year 15	Year 20	Year 25	Year 30	Year 35	Year 40
EFFECTIVE GROSS INCOME	2.00%	\$1,604,738	\$1,636,833	\$1,669,570	\$1,702,961	\$1,737,020	\$1,917,811	\$2,117,418	\$2,337,800	\$2,581,121	\$2,849,766	\$3,146,372	\$3,473,848
TOTAL EXPENSES	3.00%	\$720,593	\$741,568	\$763,161	\$785,388	\$808,268	\$933,169	\$1,077,565	\$1,244,519	\$1,437,577	\$1,660,847	\$1,919,086	\$2,217,800
NET OPERATING INCOME ("NO)")	\$884,146	\$895,264	\$906,409	\$917,573	\$928,752	\$984,641	\$1,039,853	\$1,093,282	\$1,143,544	\$1,188,918	\$1,227,286	\$1,256,048
EXPENSE/INCOME RATIO		44.9%	45.3%	45.7%	46.1%	46.5%	48.7%	50.9%	53.2%	55.7%	58.3%	61.0%	63.8%
MUST -PAY DEBT SERVICE													
Regions Bank		\$794,007	\$793,781	\$793,542	\$793,289	\$793,023	\$791,453	\$789,399	\$786,708	\$783,187	\$778,576	\$772,540	\$764,638
Adjustment to Debt Per §11.302(c	c)(2)	(\$25,479)	(\$25,479)	(\$25,479)	(\$25,479)	(\$25,479)	(\$25,479)	(\$25,479)	(\$25,479)	(\$25,479)	(\$25,479)	(\$25,479)	(\$25,479)
TOTAL DEBT SERVICE		\$768,528	\$768,302	\$768,063	\$767,810	\$767,544	\$765,974	\$763,920	\$761,230	\$757,708	\$753,097	\$747,061	\$739,159
DEBT COVERAGE RATIO		1.15	1.17	1.18	1.20	1.21	1.29	1.36	1.44	1.51	1.58	1.64	1.70
ANNUAL CASH FLOW		\$115,617	\$126,963	\$138,346	\$149,763	\$161,208	\$218,667	\$275,933	\$332,052	\$385,836	\$435,821	\$480,225	\$516,890
Deferred Developer Fee Balance		\$3,484,020	\$3,357,057	\$3,218,711	\$3,068,948	\$2,907,740	\$1,929,412	\$664,027	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0
CUMULATIVE NET CASH FLOV	V	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$0	\$884,673	\$2,707,489	\$4,788,475	\$7,103,426	\$9,618,123